

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,343	05/20/2002	Takao Yoshimine	450101-03178	8796
	7590 12/20/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHEA, PHILIP J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2153		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,343	YOSHIMINE, TAKAO Art Unit 2153 Trespondence address 3) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, Illy filed The mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of the merits is an one of	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip J. Chea	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2006.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	,		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 May 2002 is/are: a)[⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
:			
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a Request for Continued Examination filed October 11, 2006.

Claims 8-14 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8,9,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bandaru et al. (US 6,535,228), herein referred to as Bandaru.

As per claims 8,13,14, Bandaru discloses a data-providing apparatus attached to a plurality of user apparatuses over a network, said data-providing apparatus comprising:

a receiving unit configured to receive content data transmitted from the plurality of said user apparatus (see column 3, lines 21-35);

a user contents control unit configured to control recording of the content data received by the receiving unit into a recording area corresponding to each user apparatus with a user ID indicating each user who offers the content data to be shared and a shared data flag in a database (see column 17, lines 10-26). In considering a user ID indicating each user who offers the data to be shared, Bandaru does not expressly disclose a "user ID". However, Bandaru does show that a user profile with a unique account is used to organize the shared contents and a share list is unique to a user (see column 16, lines 1-13 and column 15, lines 37-57). The shared contents control unit would obviously be modified by Bandaru to include the shared data "flag" in order to distinguish objects from shared and unshared;

a shared contents control unit configured to control the recorded contents (see Fig. 16, where objects may be selected for sharing); and

Art Unit: 2153

a data-supplying unit configured to supply content data set to be shared to the plurality of user apparatuses in response to a demand made by the plurality of user apparatuses (see column 17, lines 20-28),

wherein the shared data flag indicates whether the user contents is set to be shared or not, and wherein the shared data flag is set in the database based on property data edited by the user when the user transmits the content data (see column 16, line 61 – column 17, line 6, where a user may wish to share an object once it is transmitted to the DMF and column 3, lines 21-35 showing a user transmitting content data to the DMF).

As per claim 9, Bandaru further discloses a thumbnail-generating means for generating a thumbnail corresponding to data received by a receiving unit and thumbnail transmitting means for transmitting the thumbnail to a second data-processing apparatus (see Bandaru Fig. 13).

3. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bandaru as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Neel et al. (US 5,838,314).

As per claim 10, although the system disclosed by Bandaru shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), it fails to disclose that the shared determining whether the data should be paid for its use, when the data is supplied to a second data-processing apparatus.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Bandaru, as evidenced by Neel et al.

In an analogous art, Neel et al. disclose a video service system that provides video signals for programming via satellite link or broadband transmission links further disclosing determining whether data should be paid for its use, when the data is supplied to a second data-processing apparatus (see column 6, lines 7-25, where watching an advertisement instead of paying for the video programming is like getting a credit from the data-processing apparatus for watching the advertisement).

Given the teaching of Neel et al., a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Bandaru by determining whether data should be

Art Unit: 2153

paid for its use, such as disclosed by Neel et al., in order to give a user an alternative to paying for movies.

As per claim 11, Bandaru in view of Neel et al. further disclose the shared contents control unit further determines a fee for the data when the data is supplied to a second data-processing apparatus (see Neel et al. column 6, lines 7-25).

As per claim 12, Bandaru in view of Neel et al. further disclose that the fee is an amount that the data-processing apparatus needs to pay to the second data-processing apparatus when the data is supplied to the second data-processing apparatus (see Neel et al. column 6, lines 7-25).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-14 in regards to Barraclough have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner has replaced the Barraclough reference with a single reference rejection using Bandaru. Please consider the remarks above addressing the newly amended claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J. Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2153

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Philip J Chea Examiner Art Unit 2153

PJC 12/14/06

RUPAL DHARIA
RUPAL DHARIA
RUPAL DHARIA
RUPAL DHARIA
RUPAL DHARIA